Message

From: Washington, John [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FDC3E8CE9F1D45C4894881FF420CA104-WASHINGTON, JOHN]

Sent: 3/28/2018 2:34:33 PM

To: Newton, Seth [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ea2ecc1d228a4c4682730a829e1d0718-Newton, Set]; Lindstrom, Andrew

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=04bf7cf26aa44ce29763fbc1c1b2338e-Lindstrom, Andrew]; Strynar, Mark

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=5a9910d5b38e471497bd875fd329a20a-Strynar, Mark]; McCord, James [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=McCord, James]

CC: Jenkins, Tom [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b821f1d7604f47deac48dba81b0618b5-Jenkins, Tom]

Subject: RE: purchase of Solvay product

Correctic Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) And "convenience check" not "courtesy check."

From: Washington, John

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 10:15 AM

To: Newton, Seth <Newton.Seth@epa.gov>; Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>; Strynar, Mark

<strynar.mark@epa.gov>; McCord, James <mccord.james@epa.gov>

Cc: Jenkins, Tom <Jenkins.Tom@epa.gov> **Subject:** purchase of Solvay product

Hey guys,

Tom Jenkins looked into purchase of the Solvay compound, putting out the purchase request thru Guidechem.com and specifying the CAS number 329238-24-6.

We received eight responses- see spreadsheet. Because we don't know these suppliers, we chose to proceed with two of them for purchase Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) We plan to buy 5 grams from each source and will send some of both up to you once we receive it.

This might take a while for small international companies, Anna Sacks even suggested a "courtesy check," whatever that means. So in the meantime, let me know if you find alternative more-trusted sources.

John

From: Newton, Seth

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 9:21 AM

To: Lindstrom, Andrew < Lindstrom. Andrew@epa.gov >; Strynar, Mark < Strynar. Mark@epa.gov >; McCord, James

<mccord.james@epa.gov>; Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: GenX in NJ?

Hey all,

I just went back into the aligned mass profile professional file and looked closer for Gen X and don't think there is Gen X but want a second opinion just to be sure. Two samples have a mass (neutral mass) at 329.9851 which is about a 30 ppm error from Gen X (329.9751) so I think it's too far off. It also elutes at 1.86 minutes which I think would be too early for Gen X.

James and Mark – would you agree that this is NOT Gen X? Have either of you seen any sign of Gen X in the samples? I just wanted to make sure before we say definitely we don't see Gen X because it sounds like a pretty important question.

Thanks, Seth

From: Lindstrom, Andrew

Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2018 2:18 PM

To: Strynar, Mark <<u>Strynar.Mark@epa.gov</u>>; Newton, Seth <<u>Newton.Seth@epa.gov</u>>; McCord, James

<mccord.james@epa.gov>; Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>

Cc: Buckley, Timothy < Buckley. Timothy@epa.gov>

Subject: GenX in NJ?

All,

Erica Bergman from NJ DEP called and asked if we have seen any evidence of GenX in any of the samples run so far.

A recent R2 EPA sampling effort has verified that GenX is present in some samples collected from the Chemours Deepwater site.

Please see the attached documents for these details.

The R2 Administrator and NJ DEP officials want to be briefed on what we know about GenX in this area.

Thank you,

Andy